Quantum graph algorithms

Joran van Apeldoorn

October 13, 2021



Instituut voor Informatierecht Institute for Information Law





Quantum states

• A bit is 0 or 1, a <u>qubit</u> is in a <u>superposition</u> of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$:

 $\left|\psi\right\rangle = \alpha_{0}\left|0\right\rangle + \alpha_{1}\left|1\right\rangle$

- If we measure then we get one outcome. The probability of measuring $|0\rangle$ is $|\alpha_0|^2$. The probability of measuring $|1\rangle$ is $|\alpha_1|^2$.
- Quantum states are normalized complex vectors, the classical states $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, \dots$ form a basis.
- For a qubit:

$$\left|0\right\rangle = \begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix} \qquad \left|1\right\rangle = \begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

We combine qubits to create bigger states via tensor products.

 We can change states by applying unitaries, since they keep vectors normalized.

- We can change states by applying unitaries, since they keep vectors normalized.
- Unitaries on only a few qubits are called gates.

- We can change states by applying unitaries, since they keep vectors normalized.
- Unitaries on only a few qubits are called gates.

$$I := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$Z := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad H := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- We can change states by applying unitaries, since they keep vectors normalized.
- Unitaries on only a few qubits are called gates.

$$I := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$Z := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad H := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

I does nothing.

- We can change states by applying unitaries, since they keep vectors normalized.
- Unitaries on only a few qubits are called gates.

$$I := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$Z := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad H := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- I does nothing.
- X changes $|0\rangle$ into $|1\rangle$ and vice versa.

- We can change states by applying unitaries, since they keep vectors normalized.
- Unitaries on only a few qubits are called gates.

$$I := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$Z := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad H := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- I does nothing.
- X changes $|0\rangle$ into $|1\rangle$ and vice versa.
- Z adds a -1 in front of $|1\rangle$.

- We can change states by applying unitaries, since they keep vectors normalized.
- Unitaries on only a few qubits are called gates.

$$I := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$Z := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad H := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- I does nothing.
- X changes $|0\rangle$ into $|1\rangle$ and vice versa.
- Z adds a -1 in front of $|1\rangle$.

• *H* changes
$$|0\rangle$$
 and $|1\rangle$ into $\frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$.

$$|+\rangle := \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad |-\rangle := \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$







What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0>:

$$|0\rangle\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0
angle\mapsto rac{|0
angle+|1
angle}{\sqrt{2}}\mapsto rac{|0
angle-|1
angle}{\sqrt{2}}$$



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle - (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)\right)$$



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle - \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle\right)\right) = |1\rangle$$



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle - \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle\right)\right) = |1\rangle$$

 \blacksquare On $|1\rangle\!\!:$

$$|1\rangle\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle - \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle\right)\right) = |1\rangle$$

 \blacksquare On $|1\rangle$:

$$|1\rangle\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle - \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle\right)\right) = |1\rangle$$

 \blacksquare On $|1\rangle$:

$$|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |0\rangle - |1\rangle\right)$$



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle - \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle\right)\right) = |1\rangle$$

 \blacksquare On $|1\rangle$:

$$|1\rangle\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\mapsto \frac{1}{2}\left(|0\rangle+|1\rangle+|0\rangle-|1\rangle\right) = |0\rangle$$

This is a X gate!



What does this circuit do? We only need to try a basis (Why?).

■ On |0⟩:

$$|0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle - \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle\right)\right) = |1\rangle$$

 \blacksquare On $|1\rangle$:

$$|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |0\rangle - |1\rangle\right) = |0\rangle$$

This is a X gate! Z is just X in the $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ basis (and vice versa).

We can also see this in our image.



Reflections

We can also see this in our image. Z is a reflection through the $|0\rangle$ state.



Reflections

We can also see this in our image.

X is a reflection through the $|+\rangle$ state.



• We can also make gates controlled:



• We can also make gates controlled:



■ We can also make gates controlled:



Classical algorithms make calls to the memory to get the input.

■ We can also make gates controlled:



- Classical algorithms make calls to the memory to get the input.
- Quantum algorithms get an oracle that mimics this.

■ We can also make gates controlled:



- Classical algorithms make calls to the memory to get the input.
- Quantum algorithms get an oracle that mimics this.
- A <u>binary oracle</u> for an input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is a unitary

 $O_{x}|i\rangle|b\rangle = |i\rangle|b\oplus x_{i}\rangle$

where \oplus is addition modulo 2 (or the XOR)

■ We can also make gates controlled:



- Classical algorithms make calls to the memory to get the input.
- Quantum algorithms get an oracle that mimics this.
- A <u>binary oracle</u> for an input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is a unitary

$$O_{x}|i\rangle|b\rangle = |i\rangle|b\oplus x_{i}\rangle$$

where \oplus is addition modulo 2 (or the XOR)

■ Unitaries always have an inverse
 ⇒ quantum circuits are always reversible.

Amplitude amplification

Let us get back to classical computing for a while:

Let us get back to classical computing for a while:

 Maybe our randomized algorithm does not always work, it has a success probability p.
- Maybe our randomized algorithm does not always work, it has a success probability p.
- Formally, The algorithm outputs:

- Maybe our randomized algorithm does not always work, it has a success probability p.
- Formally, The algorithm outputs:
 - ▶ a 1 and a good solution with probability *p*, or

- Maybe our randomized algorithm does not always work, it has a success probability p.
- Formally, The algorithm outputs:
 - ▶ a 1 and a good solution with probability *p*, or
 - a 0 and a bad solution with probability 1 p.
- An algorithm works with high probability if $p \ge 2/3$.

- Maybe our randomized algorithm does not always work, it has a success probability p.
- Formally, The algorithm outputs:
 - ▶ a 1 and a good solution with probability *p*, or
 - a 0 and a bad solution with probability 1 p.
- An algorithm works with high probability if $p \ge 2/3$.
- What can we do if *p* is small?

- Maybe our randomized algorithm does not always work, it has a success probability p.
- Formally, The algorithm outputs:
 - ▶ a 1 and a good solution with probability *p*, or
 - a 0 and a bad solution with probability 1 p.
- An algorithm works with high probability if $p \ge 2/3$.
- What can we do if *p* is small?
- Repeat

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$$

times.

Quantum algorithms & small success probability

Back to quantum:

Quantum algorithms & small success probability

Back to quantum:

• Quantum algorithms are inherently random.

Quantum algorithms & small success probability

Back to quantum:

- Quantum algorithms are inherently random.
- A Hadamard gate can be used to flip a coin:

$$H\left|0
ight
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|0
ight
angle+\left|1
ight
angle
ight)$$

Back to quantum:

- Quantum algorithms are inherently random.
- A Hadamard gate can be used to flip a coin:

$$H\left|0\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|0\right\rangle + \left|1\right\rangle\right)$$

A quantum algorithm might produce the state

$$U|0\rangle = \alpha_{G}|G\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha_{B}|B\rangle|0\rangle$$

Back to quantum:

- Quantum algorithms are inherently random.
- A Hadamard gate can be used to flip a coin:

$$H\left|0\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|0\right\rangle + \left|1\right\rangle\right)$$

A quantum algorithm might produce the state

$$U |0\rangle = \alpha_{G} |G\rangle |1\rangle + \alpha_{B} |B\rangle |0\rangle$$

• $|G\rangle|1\rangle$ is the "Good" part of the state, $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ is the "Bad" part.

Back to quantum:

- Quantum algorithms are inherently random.
- A Hadamard gate can be used to flip a coin:

$$H\left|0
ight
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|0
ight
angle+\left|1
ight
angle
ight)$$

A quantum algorithm might produce the state

$$U|0\rangle = \alpha_{G}|G\rangle|1\rangle + \alpha_{B}|B\rangle|0\rangle$$

|G⟩|1⟩ is the "Good" part of the state, |B⟩|0⟩ is the "Bad" part.
Is we just measure then the success probability is p = |α_G|².

Let us write $\left|\psi\right\rangle := \alpha_{G}\left|G\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle + \alpha_{B}\left|B\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle$

Let us write $\left|\psi\right\rangle := \alpha_{\textit{G}} \left|\textit{G}\right\rangle \left|1\right\rangle + \alpha_{\textit{B}} \left|\textit{B}\right\rangle \left|0\right\rangle$

What is the inner product between the good and the bad part?

Let us write $\left|\psi\right\rangle := \alpha_{G} \left|G\right\rangle \left|1\right\rangle + \alpha_{B} \left|B\right\rangle \left|0\right\rangle$

What is the inner product between the good and the bad part?

$$\langle G|B\rangle\langle 1|0\rangle = \langle G|B\rangle \cdot 0 = 0$$

Let us write $|\psi\rangle := \alpha_{G} |G\rangle |1\rangle + \alpha_{B} |B\rangle |0\rangle$

What is the inner product between the good and the bad part?

$$\langle G|B\rangle\langle 1|0\rangle = \langle G|B\rangle \cdot 0 = 0$$

■ So these two states are orthogonal!

Let us write $|\psi\rangle:=lpha_{\it G}\,|\it G\rangle\,|1
angle+lpha_{\it B}\,|\it B
angle\,|0
angle$

What is the inner product between the good and the bad part?

$$\langle G|B\rangle\langle 1|0\rangle = \langle G|B\rangle \cdot 0 = 0$$

So these two states are orthogonal!

 $\blacksquare~|\psi\rangle$ is written in the $\{|G\rangle|1\rangle,|B\rangle|0\rangle\}$ basis.

Let us write $|\psi\rangle:=lpha_{\it G}\,|\it G\rangle\,|1
angle+lpha_{\it B}\,|\it B
angle\,|0
angle$

What is the inner product between the good and the bad part?

$$\langle G|B\rangle\langle 1|0\rangle = \langle G|B\rangle \cdot 0 = 0$$

- So these two states are orthogonal!
- $\blacksquare ~|\psi\rangle$ is written in the $\{|G\rangle|1\rangle,|B\rangle|0\rangle\}$ basis.
- Everything is in a 2-dimensional subspace.

















• Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.

- Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.
- The product is a rotation \mathcal{A} , with angle 2θ .

- Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.
- The product is a rotation \mathcal{A} , with angle 2θ .
- After k iterations of \mathcal{A} we get

 $\sin\left(\left(2k+1\right)\theta\right)\left|G\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle+\cos\left(\left(2k+1\right)\right)\left|B\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle$

- Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.
- The product is a rotation \mathcal{A} , with angle 2θ .
- After k iterations of \mathcal{A} we get

 $\sin\left(\left(2k+1\right)\theta\right)\left|G\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle+\cos\left(\left(2k+1\right)\right)\left|B\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle$

If $(2k+1)\theta \approx \pi/2$ then $|\sin((2k+1)\theta)|^2 \approx 1$.

- Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.
- The product is a <u>rotation</u> A, with angle 2θ .
- After k iterations of \mathcal{A} we get

 $\sin\left((2k+1)\theta\right)\left|G\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle+\cos\left((2k+1)\right)\left|B\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle$

- If $(2k+1)\theta \approx \pi/2$ then $|\sin((2k+1)\theta)|^2 \approx 1$.
- Since $\theta = \arcsin \alpha_G$ we want

$$k \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \arcsin \alpha_G} - 1\right)/2$$

- Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.
- The product is a <u>rotation</u> A, with angle 2θ .
- After k iterations of \mathcal{A} we get

 $\sin\left((2k+1)\theta\right)\left|G\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle+\cos\left((2k+1)\right)\left|B\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle$

- If $(2k+1)\theta \approx \pi/2$ then $|\sin((2k+1)\theta)|^2 \approx 1$.
- Since $\theta = \arcsin \alpha_G$ we want

$$k \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \arcsin \alpha_G} - 1\right)/2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha_G|}\right)$$

- Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.
- The product is a rotation \mathcal{A} , with angle 2θ .
- After k iterations of \mathcal{A} we get

 $\sin\left((2k+1)\theta\right)\left|G\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle+\cos\left((2k+1)\right)\left|B\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle$

- If $(2k+1)\theta \approx \pi/2$ then $|\sin((2k+1)\theta)|^2 \approx 1$.
- Since $\theta = \arcsin \alpha_G$ we want

$$k \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \arcsin \alpha_G} - 1\right)/2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha_G|}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right)$$

- Two reflections: through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$.
- The product is a rotation A, with angle 2θ .
- After k iterations of \mathcal{A} we get

 $\sin\left((2k+1)\theta\right)\left|G\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle+\cos\left((2k+1)\right)\left|B\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle$

- If $(2k+1)\theta \approx \pi/2$ then $|\sin((2k+1)\theta)|^2 \approx 1$.
- Since $\theta = \arcsin \alpha_G$ we want

$$k \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{2 \arcsin \alpha_G} - 1\right) / 2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha_G|}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right)$$

Nice, but can we actually implement these reflections?

Implementing the reflections

The reflection through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$:

Implementing the reflections

The reflection through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$:

- Do nothing to the bad state.
- Add a -1 to the good state.

Implementing the reflections

The reflection through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$:

- Do nothing to the bad state.
- Add a -1 to the good state.

Apply a Z gate to the last bit.
Implementing the reflections

The reflection through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$:

- Do nothing to the bad state.
- Add a -1 to the good state.

Apply a Z gate to the last bit.

The reflection through $|\psi\rangle$:

Implementing the reflections

The reflection through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$:

- Do nothing to the bad state.
- Add a -1 to the good state.

Apply a Z gate to the last bit.

The reflection through $|\psi\rangle$:

- \blacksquare Do nothing to $|\psi\rangle.$
- Add a -1 to states orthogonal to it.

Implementing the reflections

The reflection through $|B\rangle|0\rangle$:

- Do nothing to the bad state.
- Add a -1 to the good state.

Apply a Z gate to the last bit.

The reflection through $|\psi\rangle\!\!:$

- \blacksquare Do nothing to $|\psi\rangle.$
- Add a -1 to states orthogonal to it.

Use that $|\psi\rangle = U |0\rangle$:

- 1. Apply U^{-1} to map $|\psi\rangle$ to $|0\rangle$.
- 2. Reflect through $|0\rangle$.
- 3. Apply U to map $|0\rangle$ to back to $|\psi\rangle$.

Before amplitude amplification there was Grover ('96).

Before amplitude amplification there was Grover ('96).

Search problem

Input: $x \in \{0, 1\}^N$ with k ones. Goal: Find an i such that $x_i = 1$ with few queries.

Before amplitude amplification there was Grover ('96).

Search problem

Input: $x \in \{0, 1\}^N$ with k ones. Goal: Find an i such that $x_i = 1$ with few queries.

How can we do this classically?

Before amplitude amplification there was Grover ('96).

Search problem

Input: $x \in \{0, 1\}^N$ with k ones. Goal: Find an i such that $x_i = 1$ with few queries.

How can we do this classically?

• Go over the bits: $\mathcal{O}(N)$ queries.

Before amplitude amplification there was Grover ('96).

Search problem

```
Input: x \in \{0, 1\}^N with k ones.
Goal: Find an i such that x_i = 1 with few queries.
```

How can we do this classically?

- Go over the bits: $\mathcal{O}(N)$ queries.
- Randomly pick an *i* and repeat: O(N/k) queries.

Before amplitude amplification there was Grover ('96).

Search problem

Input: $x \in \{0, 1\}^N$ with k ones. Goal: Find an i such that $x_i = 1$ with few queries.

How can we do this classically?

- Go over the bits: $\mathcal{O}(N)$ queries.
- Randomly pick an *i* and repeat: O(N/k) queries.

Using amplitude amplification:

• Superposition over i, x_i and amplify: $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{N/k}\right)$ queries.

Before amplitude amplification there was Grover ('96).

Search problem

Input: $x \in \{0, 1\}^N$ with k ones. Goal: Find an i such that $x_i = 1$ with few queries.

How can we do this classically?

- Go over the bits: $\mathcal{O}(N)$ queries.
- **•** Randomly pick an *i* and repeat: $\mathcal{O}(N/k)$ queries.

Using amplitude amplification:

• Superposition over *i*, x_i and amplify: $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{N/k}\right)$ queries.

To find all: $O(\sqrt{Nk})$



• Start with an empty tree and c = n components.

- Start with an empty tree and c = n components.
- There are at least c 1 edges that connect two components, out of n^2 possible edges.

- Start with an empty tree and c = n components.
- There are at least c 1 edges that connect two components, out of n^2 possible edges.
- Grover search using $O(\sqrt{n^2/(c-1)})$ queries to find such edge.

- Start with an empty tree and c = n components.
- There are at least c 1 edges that connect two components, out of n^2 possible edges.
- Grover search using $O(\sqrt{n^2/(c-1)})$ queries to find such edge.
- Repeat n times:

- Start with an empty tree and c = n components.
- There are at least c 1 edges that connect two components, out of n^2 possible edges.
- Grover search using $O(\sqrt{n^2/(c-1)})$ queries to find such edge.
- Repeat n times:

$$\sum_{c=2}^{n} n\sqrt{1/(c-1)} \leq n \int_{0}^{n} c^{-1/2} dc = O(n^{1.5})$$

Goal: given adjacency list queries, perform a breadth-first search. In BFS for each node we do:

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.
 - Add those nodes to the queue

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.
 - Add those nodes to the queue
- Classically we check each edge twice: O(E) queries/time.

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.
 - Add those nodes to the queue
- Classically we check each edge twice: O(E) queries/time.
- Quantumly we may use Grover's search:

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.
 - Add those nodes to the queue
- Classically we check each edge twice: O(E) queries/time.
- Quantumly we may use Grover's search:
 - ▶ Say node v_j has d_j neighbors, t_j not visited.

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.
 - Add those nodes to the queue
- Classically we check each edge twice: O(E) queries/time.
- Quantumly we may use Grover's search:
 - ▶ Say node v_j has d_j neighbors, t_j not visited.
 - We know $\sum_j d_j = 2m$, $\sum_j t_j = n$.

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.
 - Add those nodes to the queue
- Classically we check each edge twice: O(E) queries/time.
- Quantumly we may use Grover's search:
 - ▶ Say node v_j has d_j neighbors, t_j not visited.

• We know
$$\sum_j d_j = 2m$$
, $\sum_j t_j = n$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{d_j t_j} \leqslant \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j \sum_{j=1}^n t_j} = O(\sqrt{nm})$$

- In BFS for each node we do:
 - Check all outgoing edges for unvisited nodes.
 - Add those nodes to the queue
- Classically we check each edge twice: O(E) queries/time.
- Quantumly we may use Grover's search:
 - ▶ Say node v_j has d_j neighbors, t_j not visited.

• We know
$$\sum_j d_j = 2m$$
, $\sum_j t_j = n$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{d_j t_j} \leqslant \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n d_j \sum_{j=1}^n t_j} = O(\sqrt{nm})$$

Application: Matching in $O(V\sqrt{E})$

That was it!